

From jsl@rockvax.ROCKEFELLER.EDU Mon Jan 17 16:37:46 1994
Received: from rocky8.rockefeller.edu by rockvax.ROCKEFELLER.EDU
(5.65/1.34) id AA28949; Mon, 17 Jan 94 16:36:31 -0500
Received: from jl.rockefeller.edu by rocky8.ROCKEFELLER.EDU
(5.65/1.34) id AA02670; Mon, 17 Jan 94 16:36:30 -0500
Message-Id: <9401172136.AA02670@rocky8.ROCKEFELLER.EDU>
To: msm@isr (Matt Meselson)
Subject: Your ms. on Sverdlovsk
Date: Mon, 17 Jan 94 16:38:54 EST
From: Joshua Lederberg <jsl@rockvax.ROCKEFELLER.EDU>
Status: R

Thank you for letting me see that. It is a meticulous work! -
beyond what I really had time to dig into, in the time now
available.

You might want to plot the hypothetical plume with isochors (is
that the right word?) for given exposure densities according to
your model.

I'm not sure how much of the commentary about Yeltsin etc. belongs
in the paper. It could go in the commentary that I'm sure Maddox
will invite.

You refer in the abstract and on p. 2 how "US Agencies"
contradicted the Soviet account. Didn't it all start from German
emigre publications, and then the Wall St. Journal, before the
"agencies" took any position? But this stuff is commentary too:
obviously you need some bare minimum to explain why a paper is only
possible now.

Yours,

Josh