

9 April 1981

Ms. Beth Isacke
Associate Editor
The Bulletin of the
Atomic Scientists
1020-24 East 58th Street
Chicago, ILL 60637

Dear Ms, Isacke,

The March 26th revision of Powell's manuscript is an improvement. I have entered some comments on the text. I think that you or Ruth Adams should now go over the text once more to make sure that the references are complete and exact enough so that anyone ~~wishing~~ to study this matter further can identify the reference and obtain it through the Freedom of INformation Act. ~~if they wish~~ references are still quite vague. For example, no.27 does not tell to whom the report was addressed, from whom it originated, where it was written or from what agency, etc. Indeed, few of the references presumably obtained under the Freedom of Information Act are given properly. For the sake of credibility and future scholarship, you should insist that the references be given fully.

Sincerely Yours,

Matthew Meselson