

5 November 1980

The New York Times
229 West 43rd Street
New York, NY 10036

To the Editor:

In a recent letter to The New York Times (October 8), one of us made a reference to extensive Soviet chemical warfare preparations which needs clarification. It is true that following the large U.S. procurements of lethal chemical weapons in the 1950's and 1960's, the Soviets made impressive efforts in the 60's and 70's on the defensive side, particularly in training their forces to operate with masks, suits, and other anti-chemical protective equipment. This tells us little, however, about the extent of their offensive chemical warfare programs. Indeed, U.S. intelligence apparently has no hard evidence that the Soviets have or have not produced lethal chemical munitions since the U.S. stopped production in 1968. And what was alleged to have been lethal gas used by the Soviets in Afghanistan last winter is now viewed as probably having been a non-lethal agent, possibly similar to the riot-control agent used by the U.S. in Vietnam. In short, we simply do not know to what extent the Soviets are prepared to use poison gas. What we wish to emphasize, however, is that sound U.S. policy is more likely to emerge from a careful analysis of military needs than from an uncritical appraisal of adversary capabilities. No adequate analysis has been done, yet the U.S. is on the verge of committing itself to a multi-billion dollar chemical weapons procurement program. The first step, approved by Congress in September, would be to build a plant to make more nerve gas artillery shells of which we already have a large supply. A better course would be to continue to improve the anti-chemical protective equipment and training of our forces while determining, in close consultation with our NATO allies, what expenditures, if any, are warranted for additional chemical weapons.

Sincerely Yours,

Matthew Meselson
Cabot Professor of Natural Sciences
Harvard University

Stephen M. Myer^e
Assistant Professor of Political Science
Massachusetts Institute of Technology