



American Academy of Arts and Sciences

165 Allandale Street

Jamaica Plain Station, Boston, Massachusetts 02130

Telephone 617 522-2400

21 October 1976

Mr. Julian Perry Robinson
Science Policy Research Unit
Mantell Building
University of Sussex
Brighton, Sussex BN1 9RF
ENGLAND

Dear Mr. Robinson,

On behalf of the Organizing Committee, I wish to express our pleasure at learning that you plan to attend the Conference on Policies for Chemical Weapons and Chemical Arms Control to be held at the American Academy of Arts and Sciences in Boston on January 21-22, 1977.

Following our recent telephone conversation, I am writing to confirm our understanding that you will contribute a paper for distribution to the participants in advance of the Conference. We would particularly value a paper that provides an analytical framework for considering the major U.S. policy options for lethal and incapacitating chemical weapons, especially in the context of European defense. The actual choice of topics and treatment will of course rest with you. However, you may wish to consider some or all of the following questions:

- . What military purposes are served by the lethal/incapacitating chemical retaliatory capability available to NATO?
- . To what extent would these purposes be served by other already existing or attainable military means if chemical weapons were not available to NATO?
- . What estimates can be made regarding Soviet chemical warfare capability and doctrine?
- . What are the trade-offs for NATO relinquishing its retaliatory chemical capability, in terms of alternative utilization of resources, simplified command and control, and other factors?

- . What are the attitudes of the European NATO governments toward the role and value of chemical weapons?
- . What benefits would be achieved by an international arms control agreement prohibiting the production and possession of lethal and incapacitating chemical weapons of war and allowing only defensive and protective equipment and preparations?
- . What risks are inherent in such an agreement?

The paper should be long enough to allow a clear presentation of the subject to readers generally familiar with defense and arms control matters but knowing little about chemical weapons and U.S. policy regarding chemical warfare. Approximately ten thousand words would not be too long, but you should be the judge. We hope to receive a copy by December 15. This would allow time for preliminary review and possible limited revision and for duplication and distribution before the Conference. The American Academy staff will retype the manuscript if necessary and distribute it to participants. The Conference is prepared to reimburse you for necessary secretarial costs and to provide an honorarium of \$500.

For your information I enclose a list of acceptances received to date from other participants, including those who have also agreed to provide a paper.

Sincerely yours,

Matthew Meselson

encl.